



Investment Beliefs: Separating the Real Stuff from the Fluff

By Michael Falk, CFA and Jim Ware, CFA

The Problem:

Hiring and firing decisions by plan sponsors are habitually poor.ⁱ They classically hire the “hot dot” manager and fire the Not (so)-Hot-Dot one (i.e. the underperforming manager). Because good managers don’t stay “down” and hot managers cool off, plan sponsors often make precisely the wrong decision – sell low and buy high. In this process, we understand the agency problem: how can a plan sponsor tell their board, “We are hiring a manager with a weak record!?” Of course, they cannot. But wait, it gets even better, what if the plan sponsor adds: “And we are firing that one high performer!” Good luck with that pitch!

Plan sponsors face the ongoing challenge of selecting superior managers. In our research, plan sponsors overwhelmingly identify Investment Philosophy and Process as the key determinant in making their decision.ⁱⁱ Presumably a manager’s performance record defends the value of their Investment Philosophy and Process. Logically then, the selection process needs to start with performance numbers over a complete cycle. After all, without defensible numbers there’s always the choice to go passive.

Beyond the numbers, what explains the good track record? Why would the superior results persist? Too many firms have what we would call “fluff statements.”ⁱⁱⁱ A fluff statement sounds perfectly logical but doesn’t help us understand why a firm could outperform. The most blatant example would be, “We buy low and sell high by employing hard working, smart professionals.” (Remarkably, many investment firms identify their strategic edge as “smart staff” and “hard work.”) At face value, this statement makes perfect sense. But it tells us nothing about the competitive edge. All firms try to buy cheap and sell dear, and all firms—that we’ve encountered—have smart, hard working professionals.

To take a real example of fluff from a firm’s statement, consider this one:

“We seek to build models of both the fundamentals and of a macro overlay and use both to forecast security returns.”

Lovely, but what have you told us about competitive advantage? And forecasts, really, are we not beyond that failed endeavor? Perhaps James Grant has put it best with “how rarely the light of prediction illuminates the darkness of the future.”^{iv} What firm hasn’t tried to do some or all of this? If you are in the investment space, is this not what you do? What we want to know is what are your



investment beliefs and practices that allow you to add value. A good investment beliefs statement includes a valid competitive edge. It answers the question, “How can we hope to win in a fiercely competitive environment?” A savvy investment manager recognizes that all humans suffer from overconfidence bias—I’m in the top half!—so they need to think carefully about the reality of what it takes to actually outperform.

A Solution:

The following statements are candidates for meaningful competitive advantage rather than fluff. Please note that competitive advantage does NOT guarantee alpha, but it does provide the greatest likelihood of success. They should be among the minimum requirements when selecting an active manager.

- 1) Significant cognitive diversity on the team. More than age, gender and ethnicity (AGE), cognitive diversity means genuinely different thinking styles.^v Scott Page writes “We find that cognitively diverse groups can locate solutions to difficult problems and that diverse groups tend to outperform groups of the individually best agents.”^{vi} In short, five middle-aged white guys with Ivy League educations will not perform as well as sufficiently intelligent people with diverse thinking styles. People approach problem solving in different ways: some people start with data, some start with a process, some dive in and rely heavily on “blink” (intuition). Welcome the wisdom of the crowd into your office; it can provide a significant edge.

Pushback: (Questions you would want to ask, to make certain the manager has cognitive diversity)

- a. How are you measuring cognitive diversity? Acceptable answers might include: Myers-Briggs Type Indicator or the Kolbe Type Indicator.
 - b. Are you using the cognitive diversity? That is, does your team of diverse people engage in rigorous debate? Do ideas get introduced and fully vetted by all team members?
 - c. Do you guard against endowment bias? Does the person who introduces the idea “walk away” from the debate for a time while the others vet the idea?
- 2) Processes that use durable and/or proprietary factors. Again, the track record would have to provide evidence that the factors indeed work.

Pushback Questions:

- a. Has the strategy has been consistently applied over the measurement period, such that you could have reliably predicted the returns given the market’s information?



- b. Has there been a low percentage turnover of the dollars invested in the strategy? That is, do the clients understand the strategy and stick with it during the hard times?
 - c. Has AUM outgrown the strategy? That is, the fund is now too large to capture the processes alpha.
- 3) Learning agility, meaning the professionals learn from their experience. As in the famous Keynes (?) quote, “When the facts change, I change my mind. What do you do, sir?”^{vii} Hindsight bias suggests accurate journaling is the only way to reliably learn from the past. We re-write history in our minds, so to learn we must be able to compare results with our original decision logic. Not our imaginary version of it! Moreover, this re-writing appears to be a function of our brain’s wiring to help maintain our mood^{viii}. If a manager asserts that they are continuous learners, we suggest you pushback in the following ways:

Pushback Questions:

- a. Do team members keep journals of their decisions? 90% of investment professionals do not!^{ix} Ask to see a sample journal.
- b. Does the team “come clean” in their post-mortems? Are they willing to document mistakes, such that they can then learn from them?
- c. Ask for an example of an important lesson from past experience. Describe how it improved ongoing performance?

These are just three of many possible “fluff-less” statements. But each of them merits further exploration because they have only the “kernel” of legitimacy. They require follow up questions to fully understand their robustness, but they pass the first test: they are not fluff.



James Ware, CFA is the founder of Focus Consulting Group, a firm dedicated to helping investment leaders leverage their talent. James is also a highly acclaimed industry author and international speaker on the subjects of investment leadership, culture and building high performing teams. A frequent keynote speaker at CFA Institute, Mutual Fund Educational Alliance, Investment Adviser Association, U.S. Institute and other major industry conferences, James is recognized for his insightful, inspiring and entertaining presentations. His recent books, “Investment Leadership: Building a Winning Culture for Long-Term Success” (Wiley, 2003) and High Performing Investment Teams (Wiley, 2006) identify those elements of leadership and teamwork that lead to sustainable success for investment firms. James has 20 years experience as a research analyst, portfolio manager, and director of buy-side investment operations. He has been a guest lecturer on the topic of investment firm management at the Kellogg Graduate School of Management at Northwestern University. His educational background includes a Masters in Business from the University of Chicago and a degree in philosophy from Williams College, where he graduated Phi Beta Kappa.

Michael Falk, CFA, is passionate about the singular principal of providing value-added asset consulting. He is a partner and chief strategist on a global macro hedge fund, and was a former CIO in charge of manager due diligence and asset allocation for a multi-billion dollar advisory. His background includes extensive asset allocation research and portfolio development expertise along with a multi-faceted understanding of behavioral finance and retirement issues. The asset consulting perspective acknowledges that the wisdom of crowds can denigrate into madness at times. Assets should be managed with the serenity to accept the market’s realities; the courage to pursue its opportunities; and the ongoing pursuit of wisdom to understand the difference. Aside from his Asset Consulting work, Mr. Falk is part of the CFA Institute’s Approved Speaker List, a contributing member of the PDDARI group within the Financial Management Association (FMA), the Vice Chair of the Profit Sharing 401(k) Council of America’s Investment Committee, and teaches as an adjunct professor at DePaul University in their Certified Financial Planner (CFP) Certificate Program as well as on behalf of the CFA Society of Chicago. He graduated from the University of Illinois with a B.S in Finance. He also holds the Certified Retirement Counselor (CRC) designation. Michael can be reached at mfalk@focusCgroup.com

ⁱ Goyal and Wahal, Journal of Finance, 2008 and Stewart, et al Financial Analysts Journal, 2009

ⁱⁱ Jim Ware, speech to Global ARC, Fall 2010. Data collected real-time from audience of 100 institutional clients and plan sponsors.

ⁱⁱⁱ The phrase “fluff” is taken from Richard Rumelt’s fine book called, “Good Strategy, Bad Strategy” (Crown Business, New York, 2011). He writes, “Fluff is a form of gibberish masquerading as strategic concepts or arguments.” Pg. 32

^{iv} James Grant newsletter

^v See Scott Page’s excellent book, “The Difference: How the Power of Diversity create better groups, firms, schools, and societies” (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2007)

^{vi} Opening quote from Michael Mauboussin’s “Investing with Style” (the consilient observer, November, 2002)

^{vii} John Maynard Keynes. While no direct evidence exists that Keynes stated this, he continues to receive much of the attribution. <http://quoteinvestigator.com/2011/07/22/keynes-change-mind/>

^{viii} Paul Kleindorfer, “Reflections on Decision Making Under Uncertainty” (INSEAD WP, 2008). He writes, “... arises undoubtedly from our biological heritage in seeking meaning and order in life so that we can continue to function without undue neurosis.” Pg. 15 and Lionel Tiger, “Optimism: The Biology of Hope” (Simon & Schuster 1979)

^{ix} From FCG real-time survey results of CFA audiences globally, when asked, “Do you keep a decision journal?”